

# AHCA Florida Health Care Connections (FX)

## FX EPgMO Charter and Program Management Plan (O-2)

**Version:** 500

**Date:** October 31, 2023

**Author:** The SEAS Vendor

**Submitted To:** AHCA FX Program Administration Team





## Revision History

| DATE      | VERSION | DESCRIPTION  | AUTHOR   |
|-----------|---------|--|--|
| 5/31/2019 | 001     | Draft for submission to the Agency   | Mike Avello,<br>Sean Gibbs,<br>Dr. Mark Huston,<br>Jane Matthews,<br>Tara Kyvik,<br>Carol Williams |
| 6/27/2019 | 002     | Revisions based on review feedback   | Sean Gibbs,<br>Jane Matthews   |
| 7/5/2019  | 100     | FX EPMO Charter and Program Management Plan Approved   | Carol Williams   |
| 8/6/2019  | 101     | Edits associated with Program Risk Management for Strategy Alignment (Sections 4.3 and 6.2.2.9)  | Sean Gibbs   |
| 8/20/2019 | 101     | FX EPMO Charter and Program Management Plan Q1 Refresh - draft   | Carol Williams   |
| 3/5/2020  | 102     | Resubmitting draft with responses to Agency/IV&V Comments  | Eric Steinkuehler  |
| 3/11/2020 | 125     | FX EPMO Charter and Program Management Plan Q2 Refresh – Approved Final  | Eric Steinkuehler  |
| 3/27/2020 | 126     | FX EPMO Charter and Program Management Plan Q3 Refresh – draft <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Added references to the Artifact Management Standards (AMS) throughout</li> <li>▪ Removed previously provided detail in Section 3.9 to avoid confusing external stakeholders</li> </ul>  | FX EPMO  |
| 4/24/2020 | 127     | FX EPMO Charter and Program Management Plan Q3 Refresh – draft remediation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Addressed Agency comments</li> <li>▪ Updated Section 3.6 <i>FX EPMO Assumptions and Constraints</i> to match what is in the Schedule IV-B and OWP</li> <li>▪ Added the FX Meeting diagram in Section 3.11 <i>FX EPMO Governance</i></li> </ul> | FX EPMO  |
| 4/29/2020 | 175     | FX EPMO Charter and Program Management Plan Q3 Refresh – Approved Final  | Carol Williams   |
| 5/21/2020 | 176     | Q4 DET Updates: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Program Communications Plan</li> <li>• Issue Management Notification</li> <li>• FX EPMO Tactical Communications</li> <li>• Updated Process Definition Names</li> <li>• Update references of DST to DMS</li> <li>• Monitoring &amp; Controlling Risk Clarification</li> </ul>                              | Jeff Jones   |
| 7/23/2020 | 177     | Updated based on Agency DET feedback   | Jeff Jones   |



| DATE       | VERSION | DESCRIPTION  | AUTHOR         |
|------------|---------|--|----------------|
| 9/15/2020  | 200     | FX EPMO Charter and Program Management Plan Q3/Q4 Refresh – Approved Final   | Carol Williams |
| 12/28/2020 | 201     | FX EPMO Charter and Program Management Plan Q1/Q2 Refresh – draft <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Made minor grammatical updates and corrected references to SEAS deliverables and governance throughout</li> </ul>   | FX EPMO Team   |
| 1/12/2021  | 250     | FX EPMO Charter and Program Management Plan Q1/Q2 Refresh – Approved Final   | Carol Williams |
| 2/23/2022  | 251     | FX EPMO Charter and Program Management Plan draft refresh – updates made to bring current and per the following DETs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Updated Section 6.2.2.2 <i>Program Communications Management</i> per DET #463</li> <li>▪ Updated Section 6.2.2.12.1 <i>CRAIDL (Changes, Risks, Action Items, Issues, and Lessons Learned) Coordination</i> per DET #334</li> <li>▪ Updated breadcrumb paths to reflect FX Hub where appropriate per DET #499</li> <li>▪ Updated Section 3.6 <i>FX EPMO Assumptions and Constraints</i> per DET #503 to align with the FY 2022-23 Schedule IV-B</li> </ul> | FX EPMO Team   |
| 4/12/2022  | 252     | FX EPMO Charter and Program Management Plan remediation to address Agency reviewer comments  | FX EPMO Team   |
| 6/21/2022  | 253     | FX EPMO Charter and Program Management Plan final remediation to address Agency reviewer comments  | FX EPMO Team   |
| 7/7/2022   | 300     | FX EPMO Charter and Program Management Plan approved final   | Carol Williams |
| 10/24/2022 | 301     | FX EPMO Charter and Program Management Plan refresh updates as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Per DET #326 – confirmed data in Section 6.2.2.4 Project Cost Estimation is current</li> <li>▪ Updated per DET #470 to update Section 6.2.2.3 Program Financial Management</li> <li>▪ Replaced references to FX Governance to reflect FX Program Governance Group throughout</li> <li>▪ Replaced graphic with new graphic for Exhibit 3-4: FX Meetings</li> </ul>   | FX EPMO Team   |
| 11/30/2022 | 302     | FX EPMO Charter and Program Management Plan remediation of Agency reviewer comments  | FX EPMO Team   |
| 12/16/2022 | 400     | FX EPMO Charter and Program Management Plan approved final   | Carol Williams |



| DATE       | VERSION | DESCRIPTION   | AUTHOR         |
|------------|---------|---|----------------|
| 8/2/2023   | 401     | FX EPgMO Charter and Program Management Plan refresh updates as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Updated per DET #537 to replace FX Meetings graphic in Exhibit 3-4 with new graphic from S-1: <i>FX Governance Plan</i> (v601) deliverable</li> <li>▪ Updated per DET #616 to change references to the FX Roadmap to reflect the FX Strategic Roadmap throughout (according to S-1: <i>FX Governance Plan</i> deliverable)</li> <li>▪ Updated per DET #622 to replacement <i>FXIT</i> with <i>program decision-making body</i></li> <li>▪ Updated EPgMO to EPgMO per DET #599</li> <li>▪ Updated Section 6.2.2.10 Program Schedule Management</li> <li>▪ Updated Exhibit 6-1: <i>FX EPgMO Tactical Communications</i> with the FX EPgMO Informational Working Sessions meeting</li> </ul> | FX EPgMO Team  |
| 10/31/2023 | 500     | FX EPgMO Charter and Program Management Plan approved final   | Carol Williams |

Modifications to the approved baseline version of this artifact must be made in accordance with the FX Artifact Management Standards.

### Quality Review History

| DATE       | REVIEWER          | COMMENTS   |
|------------|-------------------|--|
| 5/28/2019  | Carol Williams    | Conducted QC Review  |
| 5/29/2019  | Mary Lindsay Ryan | QC Review of QA and Document Management sections   |
| 8/7/2019   | Tara Kyvik        | Review updates associated with Program Risk Management for Strategy Alignment (Sections 4.3 and 6.2.2.9) |
| 12/30/2019 | Eric Steinkuehler | Conducted QC Review  |
| 2/8/2020   | Tara Kyvik        | Peer review  |
| 2/10/2020  | Eric Steinkuehler | QC review  |
| 3/26/2020  | Carol Williams    | QC review  |
| 4/24/2020  | Carol Williams    | Remediation QC review  |



| DATE       | REVIEWER          | COMMENTS                            |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 6/01/2020  | Palmer White      | QC review                           |
| 8/14/2020  | Florence Ferre    | QC Review                           |
| 12/28/2020 | Carol Williams    | Conducted QC review                 |
| 12/28/2021 | Carol Williams    | Conducted QC review                 |
| 2/17/2022  | Carol Williams    | Conducted quality review            |
| 2/21/2022  | Eric Steinkuehler | Conducted quality review            |
| 6/21/2022  | Carol Williams    | Conducted quality review            |
| 10/21/2022 | Carol Williams    | Conducted quality review            |
| 7/31/2023  | Rob Bright        | Conducted peer review               |
| 8/1/2023   | Carol Williams    | Conducted quality review            |
| 8/1/2023   | Amanda Bryson     | Conducted FX EPgMO Lead peer review |



## Table of Contents

|           |   |    |
|-----------|---|----|
| Section 1 | Overview of Project, Program, and Portfolios and their Management ..... | 1  |
| 1.1       | Definitions .....   | 1  |
| 1.1.1     | Project .....   | 1  |
| 1.1.2     | Project Management.....   | 1  |
| 1.1.3     | Program.....  | 1  |
| 1.1.4     | Program Management .....  | 2  |
| 1.1.5     | Portfolio Management.....   | 2  |
| 1.1.6     | Component .....   | 3  |
| 1.2       | FX EPgMO’s Role in Project, Program, and Portfolio Management .....     | 3  |
| Section 2 | Overview of the FX EPgMO Charter and Program Management Plan .....      | 5  |
| 2.1       | Deliverable Purpose.....  | 5  |
| 2.1.1     | Task Order Requirements Mapping .....                                   | 5  |
| 2.2       | Referenced Documents .....  | 5  |
| Section 3 | FX Enterprise Program Management Office (FX EPgMO) Charter .....        | 7  |
| 3.1       | Why an FX EPgMO?.....   | 7  |
| 3.2       | FX EPgMO Justification .....  | 7  |
| 3.3       | Scope of the FX EPgMO.....  | 9  |
| 3.4       | FX EPgMO Key Stakeholders .....   | 10 |
| 3.5       | Anticipated Outcomes/Benefits of the FX EPgMO.....                      | 10 |
| 3.5.1     | Strategic Alignment.....  | 10 |
| 3.5.2     | Value Delivery.....   | 11 |
| 3.5.3     | Benefit Strategy .....  | 11 |
| 3.6       | FX EPgMO Assumptions and Constraints.....                               | 11 |
| 3.6.1     | Assumptions .....   | 11 |
| 3.6.2     | Constraints .....   | 12 |
| 3.7       | FX EPgMO Critical Success Factors.....                                  | 12 |
| 3.8       | High-Level Risks .....  | 13 |
| 3.9       | FX EPgMO Anticipated Budget.....  | 13 |



---

|           |  |    |
|-----------|--|----|
| 3.10      | FX EPgMO Implementation Timeline .....                               | 13 |
| 3.11      | FX EPgMO Governance .....  | 14 |
| 3.12      | FX EPgMO Success Criteria.....                                       | 15 |
| 3.13      | FX EPgMO Exit Criteria .....   | 16 |
| Section 4 | How the FX EPgMO Facilitates Program Management .....                | 17 |
| 4.1       | How Projects or Programs are Assigned to FX EPgMO.....               | 17 |
| 4.2       | Program Management Performance Domains .....                         | 17 |
| 4.3       | How the FX EPgMO Supports Program Performance Domain Activities..... | 18 |
| Section 5 | Program Roles and Responsibilities .....                             | 21 |
| Section 6 | Program Life Cycle.....  | 23 |
| 6.1       | Program Definition Phase .....                                       | 23 |
| 6.1.1     | Program Formulation .....  | 23 |
| 6.1.2     | Program Planning.....  | 23 |
| 6.2       | Program Delivery Phase .....   | 23 |
| 6.2.1     | Component Authorization and Planning.....                            | 23 |
| 6.2.2     | Component Integration and Oversight .....                            | 23 |
| 6.2.3     | Component Transition and Closure.....                                | 35 |
| 6.3       | Program Closure Phase.....   | 35 |



---

## Table of Exhibits

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Exhibit 1-1: Comparison of Project, Program, and Portfolio Management ..... | 4  |
| Exhibit 2-1: Task Order Mapping.....  | 5  |
| Exhibit 3-1: Scope of the FX EPgMO .....                                    | 9  |
| Exhibit 3-2: FX EPgMO Key Stakeholders Roles and Responsibilities .....     | 10 |
| Exhibit 3-3: Risk Factors .....   | 13 |
| Exhibit 3-4: FX Meetings .....  | 15 |
| Exhibit 4-1: Program Management Performance Domains.....                    | 18 |
| Exhibit 5-1: Program Roles and Responsibilities .....                       | 22 |
| Exhibit 6-1: FX EPgMO Tactical Communications.....                          | 26 |
| Exhibit 6-2: Project Quality and Performance Objectives .....               | 28 |





## SECTION 1 OVERVIEW OF PROJECT, PROGRAM, AND PORTFOLIOS AND THEIR MANAGEMENT

The Agency for Health Care Administration (AHCA, the Agency) anticipated the complexity and breadth of work that lay ahead when drafting the Strategic Enterprise Advisory Services (SEAS) Vendor contract. The contract sets forth requirements for project, program, and portfolio management. The Agency anticipated that in order to achieve its bold vision it would have to employ advanced project management practices, including program and portfolio management, to appropriately manage the investment and facilitate realization of outcomes and benefits.

The following definitions are provided to orient the reader to the use of these terms in this document. Most definitions are from the Project Management Institute.

### 1.1 DEFINITIONS

#### 1.1.1 PROJECT

A project is “a temporary endeavor undertaken to create a unique product, service, or result.”<sup>1</sup> A project has defined start and finish dates. Projects undertaken to advance the FX Vision are called FX projects.

#### 1.1.2 PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Project Management is “the application of knowledge, skills, tools, and techniques applied to project activities to meet project requirements.”<sup>2</sup> It focuses on the efficient delivery of projects and managing stakeholder expectations. The *P-2: FX Project Management Standards* and the individual project plans, Project Process Agreement (PPA), and process definitions describe how FX projects shall be managed.

#### 1.1.3 PROGRAM

In the context of project management, a program consists of “related projects, subsidiary programs, and program activities managed in a coordinated manner to obtain benefits not available from managing them individually.”<sup>3</sup> In the course of authorizing projects to achieve the FX Vision, several projects may be authorized under the umbrella of a program to enhance the control over the projects and to optimize outcome and benefits realization.

Examples of programs that could, *potentially*, be authorized via the Portfolio Management Process are related to improving provider and recipient experiences. Several provider and/or

<sup>1</sup> [The Standard for Program Management](#) Fourth Edition, Project Management Institute, ISBN 978-1-62825-196-8, Newton Square Pennsylvania 19073. Page 167.

<sup>2</sup> Ibid.

<sup>3</sup> [The Standard for Program Management](#) Fourth Edition, Project Management Institute, ISBN 978-1-62825-196-8, Newton Square Pennsylvania 19073. Page 164.



recipient-related projects may be organized as a *Provider Experience Program* or a *Recipient Experience Program* to facilitate proper focus on achieving those strategic priorities.

In the absence of a formal *program*, the term *projects* is used in this document to address the current environment wherein a program has not yet been authorized, and any program subsequently authorized.

The term *program* is used in this document in the context of project management; not to be confused with an organizational business or functional unit.

#### 1.1.4 PROGRAM MANAGEMENT

Program Management is “the application of knowledge, skills, and principles to a program to achieve the program objectives and to obtain benefits and control not available by managing program components individually.”<sup>4</sup>

The latter part of this document outlines how the FX Enterprise Program Management Office (EPgMO) facilitates program management activities through the integration of benefit, change, risk, action item, issue, decision, lessons learned, schedule, resource, information, and quality management.

When a program is authorized by the FX Program Governance Group (PGG) through the Portfolio Management Process, a program management plan will be developed specific to that program. It will contain many of the sections contained in the latter part of this document and will integrate with the FX EPgMO’s program management activities.

#### 1.1.5 PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT

A portfolio is the “projects, programs, subsidiary portfolios, and operations managed as a group to achieve strategic objectives.”<sup>5</sup> Similar to how someone’s financial portfolio is assembled and managed to achieve the person’s strategic financial objectives, organizations use a project portfolio to manage the use of their finite resources in a way that maximizes returns on investments.

Portfolio Management is “the centralized management of one or more portfolios to achieve strategic objectives.”<sup>6</sup> The *S-4: Strategic Project Portfolio Management Plan* describes the scope of FX Portfolio Management and the processes used to manage the FX Portfolio.

---

<sup>4</sup> *The Standard for Program Management* Fourth Edition, Project Management Institute, ISBN 978-1-62825-196-8, Newton Square Pennsylvania 19073. Page 166.

<sup>5</sup> *Ibid.* Page 164.

<sup>6</sup> *Ibid.* Page 115.



## 1.1.6 COMPONENT

The term component is included here because it is used regularly in program and portfolio management.

In the context of a program, it is defined as “a project, subsidiary programs, or other related activities conducted to support a program.”<sup>7</sup>

In the context of a portfolio, it is defined as “a discrete element of a portfolio that is a program, project, or operations work related to the achievement of the portfolio’s strategic objectives.”<sup>8</sup>

## 1.2 FX EPgMO’s ROLE IN PROJECT, PROGRAM, AND PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT

As mentioned above, the Agency is employing project, program, and portfolio management to appropriately manage the investment in FX and facilitate realization of strategic priorities. All provide a structured means for aligning and effectively pursuing organizational strategies; however, they differ in their focus and the way they contribute to achieving strategic goals.<sup>9</sup>

The table in **Exhibit 1-1: Comparison of Project, Program, and Portfolio Management** below is from the *Standard for Program Management, Fourth Edition* and provided here for reference. The FX EPgMO focuses on project and program management and provides tactical project information (e.g., information about change requests, schedule and cost performance, resource utilization) for use by FX Portfolio Management.

---

<sup>7</sup> Ibid. Page 164.

<sup>8</sup> The Standard for Portfolio Management Fourth Edition, Project Management Institute, ISBN 978-1-62825-197-5, Newton Square, Pennsylvania 19073. Page 115.

<sup>9</sup> The Standard for Program Management Fourth Edition, Project Management Institute, ISBN 978-1-62825-196-8, Newton Square Pennsylvania 19073. Page 10.



| <b>Organizational Project Management<sup>10</sup></b> |   |  |   |
|---|---|--|---|
|   | <b>Projects</b>   | <b>Programs</b>  | <b>Portfolios</b>   |
| Definition  | A project is a temporary endeavor undertaken to create a unique product, service, or result.  | A program is a group of related projects, subsidiary programs, and program activities managed in a coordinated manner to obtain benefits not available from managing them individually.  | A portfolio is a collection of projects, programs, subsidiary portfolios, and operations managed as a group to achieve strategic objectives.                                |
| Scope   | Projects have defined objectives. Scope is progressively elaborated throughout the project life cycle.                                    | Programs have a scope that encompasses the scopes of its program components. Programs produce benefits to an organization by ensuring that the delivered outputs and outcomes of program components are delivered in a coordinated and complementary manner. | Portfolios have an organizational scope that changes with the strategic objectives of the organization.   |
| Change  | Project managers expect change and implement processes to keep change managed and controlled.   | Programs are managed in a manner that accepts and adapts to change as necessary to optimize the delivery of benefits as the program's components deliver outcomes and/or outputs.  | Portfolio managers continuously monitor changes in the broader internal and external environments.  |
| Planning  | Project managers progressively elaborate high-level information into detailed plans throughout the project life cycle.                    | Programs are managed using high-level plans that track the interdependencies and progress of program components. Program plans are also used to guide planning at the component level.   | Portfolio managers create and maintain necessary processes and communication relative to the aggregate portfolio.   |
| Management  | Project managers manage the project team to meet the project objectives.  | Programs are managed by program managers who ensure that program benefits are delivered as expected by coordinating the activities of a program's components.  | Portfolio managers may manage or coordinate portfolio management staff, or program and project staff that may have reporting responsibilities into the aggregate portfolio. |
| Monitoring  | Project managers monitor and control the work of producing the products, services, or results that the project was undertaken to produce. | Program managers monitor the progress of program components to ensure the overall goals, schedules, budget, and benefits of the program will be met.   | Portfolio managers monitor strategic changes and aggregate resource allocation, performance results, and risk of the portfolio.   |
| Success   | Success is measured by product and project quality, timeliness, budget compliance, and degree of customer satisfaction.                   | A program's success is measured by the program's ability to deliver its intended benefits to an organization, and by the program's efficiency and effectiveness in delivering those benefits.  | Success is measured in terms of the aggregate investment performance and benefit realization of the portfolio.  |

**Exhibit 1-1: Comparison of Project, Program, and Portfolio Management**

<sup>10</sup> Ibid, page 11.



## SECTION 2 OVERVIEW OF THE FX EPgMO CHARTER AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT PLAN

### 2.1 DELIVERABLE PURPOSE

The purpose of the *Enterprise Program Management Office (EPgMO) Charter and Program Management Plan (O-2)* is to charter the FX EPgMO and establish the guidelines and operational processes by which the FX EPgMO shall manage and/or monitor FX projects assigned by FX Portfolio Management.

This document elaborates the SEAS Vendor’s program management responsibilities per SEAS Contract MED191 and subsequent SEAS Vendor task orders, which are the primary documents establishing expectations for the management of programs. This document is complemented by several process definitions that detail how program management processes shall be performed.

#### 2.1.1 TASK ORDER REQUIREMENTS MAPPING

The requirement for this FX EPgMO Plan was established in MED191, Section E. 2: *Project and Program Management* and authorized in Section 2.2.5 *Develop a Program Management Plan* of Task Order SEAS003 as follows: “The SEAS Vendor shall develop a Program Management Plan, aligned with the Project Management Institute’s (PMI’s) Standard for Program Management, fourth edition, which describes how the program (*herein reoriented to focus on the operations of the FX EPgMO*) will align with the projects and monitor risks, issues, action items, decisions, and the schedules developed, for each specific project.”

**Exhibit 2-1: Task Order Mapping** below includes where MED191 will be satisfied in the Deliverable Expectations Document (DED) for the deliverable.

| TASK ORDER SEAS003  | FX EPgMO Charter and Program Management Plan<br>DELIVERABLE SECTION(S) |
|---|--|
| “The SEAS Vendor shall develop a Program Management Plan, aligned with the Project Management Institute’s (PMI’s) <i>Standard for Program Management, fourth edition.</i> ” | All  |
| “...describes how the Program will align with the projects and monitor risks, issues, action items, decisions, and the schedules developed, for each specific project.”     | Program Delivery Phase   |

**Exhibit 2-1: Task Order Mapping**

### 2.2 REFERENCED DOCUMENTS

The following documents are inputs to the FX EPgMO Charter:



- SEAS Contract Deliverable P-2: *FX Project Management Standards*<sup>11</sup>
- SEAS Contract Deliverable S-1: *FX Governance Plan*
- SEAS Contract Deliverable S-3: *FX Strategic Plan*
- SEAS Contract Deliverable S-4: *Strategic Project Portfolio Management Plan*
- Project Management Institute, Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK®), 6th Edition
- Project Management Institute, The Standard for Program Management, Fourth Edition
- Project Management Institute, The Standard for Portfolio Management, Fourth Edition
- Project Management Institute, Practice Standard for Scheduling, Second Edition
- Department of Management Services (DMS), requirements including the Florida Information Technology Project Management and Oversight Standards described in Florida Administrative Rule 60GG-1.001 through 60GG-1.009, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), the Florida Cybersecurity Standards described in Florida Administrative Rule 60GG-2.001 through 60GG-2.006, F.A.C., and the Information Technology Architecture Standards for Identity Management described in Florida Administrative Rule 60GG-5.001 through 60GG-5.003, F.A.C.
- SEAS Contract MED191 including all amendments to the Contract, and subsequent SEAS Task Orders
- The Invitation to Negotiate (ITN) 001-16/157, Strategic Enterprise Advisory Services (SEAS)
- The FX Artifact Management Standards (AMS)
- The FX Organizational Change Management (OCM) Plan
- Aziz, E. E. (2014). The PMO: your key to strategy execution and results delivery. Paper presented at PMI® Global Congress 2014—EMEA, Dubai, United Arab Emirates. Newtown Square, PA: Project Management Institute

---

<sup>11</sup> All initial Agency approved documents are stored and archived in the FX-HUB as final artifacts with a version number in accordance with the AMS.



## SECTION 3 FX ENTERPRISE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT OFFICE (FX EPgMO) CHARTER

### 3.1 WHY AN FX EPgMO?

The FX EPgMO operates at a strategic-level (top-down rather than bottom-up) to ensure projects approved through the Portfolio Management Process are achieving the strategic goals and objectives set forth in SEAS deliverable S-3: *FX Strategic Plan*.

The strategic transformation associated with the Florida Health Care Connections (FX) initiative necessitates an entity tasked with ensuring authorized projects progress in a manner to achieve the Agency's strategic goals.

The "role of the Program Management Office is to support the program by:

- Defining the program management processes and procedures that will be followed,
- Supporting the management of the schedule and budget at the program-level,
- Defining the quality standards for the program and for the program's components,
- Supporting effective resource management across the program,
- Providing document and configuration management (knowledge management), and
- Providing centralized support for managing changes and tracking risks and issues."<sup>12</sup>

### 3.2 FX EPgMO JUSTIFICATION

During the strategic visioning session held on December 13, 2017, the Agency's executive team recognized the Medicaid Enterprise System (MES) Procurement Project as an opportunity to fundamentally change how the Agency works and positions itself to provide "Better health care for all Floridians," and that the Agency needs to develop a more robust system to anticipate the changes coming in both health care and technology to continue to meet this goal. They determined the project should focus much more broadly than just a Florida Medicaid Management Information System (FMMIS) replacement, indicating that the project should "Transform the Medicaid Enterprise to provide the greatest quality, the best experience, and the highest value in healthcare." In addition, the Agency needs to consider this a broader project that leverages the Medicaid infrastructure to improve overall Agency functionality and build better connections to other data sources and programs.<sup>13</sup>

<sup>12</sup> Aziz, E. E. (2014). The PMO: your key to strategy execution and results delivery. Paper presented at PMI® Global Congress 2014—EMEA, Dubai, United Arab Emirates. Newtown Square, PA: Project Management Institute.

<sup>13</sup> To articulate this far-reaching scope, the Medicaid Enterprise System (MES) Procurement Project was re-named Florida Health Care Connections (FX).





Further justification for an FX EPgMO comes from the SEAS Vendor's contract. The purpose of the FX EPgMO is to foster an environment wherein projects achieve the goals and guiding principles of the *S-3: FX Strategic Plan*. In accordance with the contract, the SEAS Vendor shall "develop and document policies and procedures for the Project Management Office for [FX] projects." This document describes how "The Vendor shall provide project management services for [FX] systems integration projects and specified [FX] projects at the direction of the Agency and in accordance with the standards described in Section C., Services to be Provided by the Vendor. For [FX] projects involving other [FX] vendors, the Vendor shall create a comprehensive, integrated master project work plan that identifies the total project scope of work (including milestones and deliverables), combining separate work plans for other [FX] vendors. The Vendor shall, at the direction of the Agency, develop other integrated project management plans and processes for such [FX] projects that include, but are not limited to:

- Integrated risk, action item, issue, and decision tracking;
- Integrated master schedule for MES projects;
- Integrated change management;
- Integrated organizational change management; and
- Integrated cost management."

The FX EPgMO shall facilitate the achievement of Agency goals by:

- Working closely with the FX PGG, the FX Director, the AHCA FX Program Administration Team, FX Portfolio Management Team, and FX vendors to enable authorized projects to successfully complete—or appropriately disposition—stated objectives and deliver intended benefits
- Reducing the risk associated with project delivery by supporting or managing project delivery
- Standardizing project performance measurement, metrics, and reporting
- Identifying and responding to risks early
- Monitoring project costs
- Identifying how projects may advance or hinder other projects' objectives
- Coordinating project and program activities between multiple teams
- Resolving issues across multiple projects
- Providing transparency to project stakeholders
- Communicating projects' progress to stakeholders
- Working with functional teams to ensure project teams have the appropriate skills and competencies
- Establishing and maintaining standards, processes, tools, and templates





### 3.3 SCOPE OF THE FX EPgMO

The FX EPgMO shall oversee the FX projects. Projects are assigned by the FX PGG for management or oversight by the FX EPgMO based on the criteria in **Exhibit 3-1: Scope of the FX EPgMO** below:

| CHARACTERISTIC(S)  | MANAGED BY  | REQUIRED TO FOLLOW FX PROJECT MANAGEMENT STANDARDS AND REPORT TO FX PROGRAM GOVERNANCE GROUP? |
|--|---|---|
| Agency-wide FX Project   | FX EPgMO  | Yes   |
| Multi-agency FX Project led by the Agency  | FX EPgMO  | Yes   |
| FX projects with Risk and Complexity scores of 3 or 4 (per DMS Risk and Complexity tool; whether an IT project or not) | FX EPgMO  | Yes   |
| FX projects with Risk and Complexity scores of 1 or 2 (per DMS Risk and Complexity tool; whether an IT project or not) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ FX EPgMO (preferred)</li> <li>▪ AHCA Business Unit</li> <li>▪ AHCA IT</li> </ul> | Yes   |
| Non-FX Project   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ AHCA Business Unit</li> <li>▪ AHCA IT</li> </ul>                                 | No  |

**Exhibit 3-1: Scope of the FX EPgMO**

The FX EPgMO may provide one of three levels of Program Management Office (PMO) services depending on direction given upon authorization of a project—or if the FX EPgMO is asked to manage a non-FX project.<sup>14</sup> The three types of assistance are:

1. Supportive – “The supportive PMO ‘Provides assistance, support, tools, templates, and guidelines of project management to project management teams, provides status reporting and configuration management’ (PMI, 2013a, p. 11). It does not manage or control the project and has a purely consultative role.”<sup>15</sup> In this model, projects are expected to adhere to the standards at the Agency’s direction, but the FX EPgMO does not enforce the standards.
2. Controlling (Consultative or Monitoring PMO) – The Controlling PMO provides standards and templates supporting the FX Program Life Cycle (FXPLC) methodology (based on the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services’ (CMS) XLC methodology) and on-demand expertise. In addition to providing standards and templates, the Controlling PMO enforces the integration and performance management requirements set forth by the FX EPgMO.

<sup>14</sup> This will require authorization from the SEAS Contract Managers.

<sup>15</sup> Aziz, E. E. (2014). The PMO: your key to strategy execution and results delivery. Paper presented at PMI® Global Congress 2014—EMEA, Dubai, United Arab Emirates. Newtown Square, PA: Project Management Institute.



3. Directive – In addition to the services offered in the supportive and controlling models, the FX EPgMO provides the project management experience and resources to manage the projects approved by the FX PGG through the Portfolio Management Process.

### 3.4 FX EPgMO KEY STAKEHOLDERS

The FX EPgMO’s Key Stakeholders and their responsibilities are listed in **Exhibit 3-2: FX EPgMO Key Stakeholders Roles and Responsibilities** below.

| ROLE  | RESPONSIBILITY  |
|---|---|
| FX PGG (to include the FX Governance entities as outlined in the <i>S-1: FX Governance Plan</i> ) | Recommend and approve FX projects; consume materials produced by the FX EPgMO for informed decision-making  |
| FX Executive Sponsor (AHCA)   | Sponsors the FX Program and serves as an escalation point for the FX Director   |
| FX Director (AHCA)  | Responsible for day-to-day management of the FX Program   |
| SEAS Director (SEAS)  | Responsible for day-to-day management of the SEAS Vendor Team   |
| FX Program Administration Team (AHCA)   | Provides Agency subject matter expertise to FX projects and supports FX EPgMO Operations (refer to Section 5 below for more detail)   |
| FX EPgMO Lead (SEAS)  | Responsible for the FX EPgMO’s day-to-day operations; facilitates the biweekly FX Enterprise Program Management (EPM) meeting; reports to the SEAS Director   |
| FX EPgMO Project Managers (SEAS)  | Manage assigned projects and/or provide project management consulting and support services in accordance with the FX Project Management Standards; support their project sponsor; report to the FX EPgMO Lead |
| FX EPgMO Subject Matter Experts (SEAS)  | Provides expertise to FX EPgMO at any level required to fulfill FX EPgMO objectives, (e.g., schedule management)  |

**Exhibit 3-2: FX EPgMO Key Stakeholders Roles and Responsibilities**

### 3.5 ANTICIPATED OUTCOMES/BENEFITS OF THE FX EPgMO

#### 3.5.1 STRATEGIC ALIGNMENT

The FX EPgMO strategic alignment focuses on confirming projects support enterprise-wide goals and objectives and remain on track to delivering intended outcomes and benefits.

For FX, this means the FX EPgMO shall be aware of the project approach to assure approaches do not conflict. This is a coordinated effort by the FX EPgMO to assess goals and strategies implemented by a project or group of projects to determine if project and program



goals support or reinforce the Agency's goals and objectives. If they conflict, determine a remediation plan and next steps.

### 3.5.2 VALUE DELIVERY

The FX EPgMO Value Delivery focuses on ensuring projects deliver intended outcomes and benefits. FX projects must clearly identify the benefits the project will provide from initiation through disposition of the resulting product or service. The FX EPgMO shall monitor project performance for deviations that may jeopardize outcome and benefits realization and make recommendations to achieve added benefits.

### 3.5.3 BENEFIT STRATEGY

Prior to establishing the project's schedule baseline, the FX EPgMO shall validate the outcomes and benefits for that project, as described in the project's Project Charter. During project execution, the FX EPgMO shall capture, evaluate, and monitor metrics measuring projects' performance to identify variances that may threaten the achievement of planned benefits. FX project metrics shall be used to support FX Program and project reporting requirements including recurring status reporting, Schedule IV-Bs, Operational Work Plans (OWP), and other reports at the direction of the Agency.

## 3.6 FX EPgMO ASSUMPTIONS AND CONSTRAINTS

### 3.6.1 ASSUMPTIONS

Assumptions are factors considered to be true. FX may not be able to deliver its mandate when assumptions are untrue.

- Assumption 1: The Agency, FX vendor staff, and other project stakeholders will be available, will actively participate in project activities, and will respond to requests in a timely manner with little to no impact to the master project schedule critical path items.
- Assumption 2: Solicitations will result in the timely onboarding of the planned FX vendor teams with little to no impact to the master project schedule critical path items.
- Assumption 3: The FX PGG structure will provide timely decision-making and project guidance to facilitate an integrated approach to the prioritization of time, resources, and budget across all Agency initiatives currently in progress, and for any new initiatives over the life of the project.
- Assumption 4: Cooperation from stakeholders outside the Agency will be received in a timely manner with little to no impact to the master project schedule critical path items.
- Assumption 5: The Agency and its vendors will provide testing environments that meet FX Standards for all existing systems and future systems to achieve continuity.
- Assumption 6: The Agency will only allow emergency changes or high-priority changes (CMS or legislative mandates) to existing systems during the transition projects.



- Assumption 7: FX module solicitations (as scoped in each conceptual document) will attract a sufficient pool of qualified vendors.
- Assumption 8: The Agency will continue to evaluate and enforce the FX IT solutions and module vendors to adhere to the FX Standards and the standards and guidelines published by the Department of Management Services (DMS).

### 3.6.2 CONSTRAINTS

Constraints are limiting factors that will affect the performance of FX.

- Constraint 1: Deadline to resolve or close out the FMMIS contract before December 31, 2024, based on the current approved FX Strategic Roadmap.
- Constraint 2: Changes to the existing FMMIS system will require Agency resources that could be focused on future system development; policy-driven changes to Medicaid that would affect FMMIS operations or require technical changes will create delays in FX system completion.
- Constraint 3: Agency resources are limited for review of deliverables produced by FX vendors as the same Agency resources are engaged across multiple aspects of the project.
- Constraint 4: Enhanced Federal Financial Participation (FFP) for FX modules and components is contingent upon approval of advanced planning documentation and module certifications by the CMS.
- Constraint 5: The lengthy Florida procurement process is a constraint relative to the overall project schedule. The Agency will evaluate the use of alternative source contracting and other methods to shorten procurement timelines where appropriate.
- Constraint 6: FX includes business processes and data transfers that rely on the cooperation and integration of outside agencies to maximize the potential benefit of FX.

### 3.7 FX EPgMO CRITICAL SUCCESS FACTORS

Critical Success Factors are conditions that must exist for the FX EPgMO to accomplish or exceed its goals.

- Agency leadership supports the FX EPgMO
- Agency sponsors the FX EPgMO at the right level to fulfill its mandate
- The FX EPgMO has a clearly defined scope, authority, and responsibilities
- The FX EPgMO has experienced personnel who can establish standards, templates, and processes appropriate for FX; mentor FX Project teams; and monitor the performance of FX projects
- The FX EPgMO exercises leadership grounded in industry practices and standards



### 3.8 HIGH-LEVEL RISKS

There are few risks associated with implementing an EPgMO. **Exhibit 3-3: Risk Factors** below is a list of potential risks associated with the FX EPgMO.

| DESCRIPTION OF RISK  | RISK RESPONSE   |
|--|---|
| If Agency Leadership does not support the FX EPgMO, then the Agency may miss the benefits that come from an EPgMO: data for improved decision-making; reduced risk associated with project delivery; increased efficiencies through standard processes and templates; training/mentoring; expertise; and increased likelihood of achieving stated outcomes and benefits. | Mitigate – Obtain FX PGG support and sponsorship of the FX EPgMO through the FX EPgMO Charter.  |
| If the FX EPgMO alignment is not sponsored at the right level of the Agency, then the ability of the FX EPgMO to facilitate successful project delivery may be adversely impacted.   | Mitigate – Obtain FX PGG support and sponsorship of the FX EPgMO through the FX EPgMO Charter.  |
| If the FX EPgMO does not have clearly defined scope, authority, and responsibility, then there will be unproductive time spent trying to make these determinations in a more complex environment (i.e., when other vendors are engaged, or when multiple projects are active) thus increasing delivery risks.  | Mitigate – Use the FX EPgMO Charter to clearly delineate scope, authority, and responsibility.  |
| If the FX EPgMO is not staffed with experienced project management professionals, then it will be severely challenged to deliver upon its mandate.   | Mitigate – Ensure that the SEAS Vendor has a highly skilled and experienced team of project management professionals in the FX EPgMO and serving in other leadership positions.   |
| If the FX EPgMO does not exercise disciplined leadership, then it may deliver diminished value toward achieving the Agency’s vision for FX.  | Mitigate – Obtain FX PGG support and sponsorship of the FX EPgMO through the FX EPgMO Charter. The FX EPgMO should have authority to enforce approved standards and processes and limit variances. The FX EPgMO Charter will define the scope and responsibilities of the FX EPgMO. The authority the FX EPgMO has over an approved project (one of the three levels of PMO identified in the earlier section: Scope of the FX EPgMO) will be determined with the approval of each project. |

**Exhibit 3-3: Risk Factors**

### 3.9 FX EPgMO ANTICIPATED BUDGET

Subject to limits and constraints imposed by annual legislative appropriations, the FX EPgMO budget is based on the needs of the program and varies from year-to-year based on the mix of Agency and SEAS Vendor staff needed to fulfill the various roles.

### 3.10 FX EPgMO IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE

During FY 2018-19, the FX EPgMO led and oversaw eight FX projects, refreshed the *P-3: FX Project Management Toolkit*, began developing detailed process definitions for adhering to the standards, drafted the *FX EPgMO Charter and Program Management Plan (O-2)*, began



project performance reporting to the FX PGG, and drafted a business case for a scalable schedule and resource management solution.

During the last quarter of FY 2018-19 into the first quarter of FY 2019-20, the FX EPgMO enhanced its process orientation. Toward that end, the FX EPgMO identified process owners and process definitions for all of its major processes (e.g., schedule management, CRAIDL (changes, risks, action items, issues, decisions, lessons learned) management, reporting). Thus, process details were not included in the body of the refreshed *P-2: FX Project Management Standards* or in this *Program Management Plan*. Instead, process details are available as stand-alone process definition documents (located in the FX Projects Repository at FXHUB > Process Definitions)—to facilitate ease of continuous improvement.


During the remainder of FY 2019-20, the FX EPgMO took steps toward being more data-driven (i.e., using the data it is generating to enhance project performance monitoring/forecasting, reporting, and decision-making).

The FX EPgMO has achieved and strives to maintain operations at a Center of Excellence level.

### 3.11 FX EPgMO GOVERNANCE

The FX EPgMO facilitates the escalation of project and program decisions and/or issues to the FX PGG in accordance with the approved *S-1: FX Governance Plan* and the *S-4: Strategic Project Portfolio Management Plan*. The *S-1: FX Governance Plan* directs the Agency to focus on those projects that support the execution of the Agency's strategic initiatives. The *S-4: Strategic Project Portfolio Management Plan* describes how the Agency will use the Portfolio Management Process to pursue projects supporting the Agency's strategic plans. The diagram in **Exhibit 3-4: FX Meetings** below depicts the flow of information and decision-making within FX by way of recurring meetings.





**Exhibit 3-4: FX Meetings**

The FX EPgMO establishes standards, trains and mentors teams in the application of the standards, facilitates project integrations and knowledge sharing, and pursues continuous improvements.

### 3.12 FX EPgMO SUCCESS CRITERIA

The FX EPgMO’s success is linked to the achievement of the Agency’s *S-3: FX Strategic Plan*. Below are some of the metrics for measuring the FX EPgMO’s success. The FX EPgMO strives to improve upon these measures, and/or add others, annually.

- The FX EPgMO fosters continued alignment between the FX projects and the *S-3: FX Strategic Plan*.
  - › 90% of FX projects maintain alignment with at least one of the FX guiding principles (assumes alignment to guiding principle(s) occurred during the Portfolio Management Process)
- The timely and cost-effective completion of projects is critical to achieving certain benefits so the FX EPgMO shall also measure its success by the timely and cost-effective completion of projects:
  - › 80%+ of projects are delivered on time, i.e., within +/- 20% of the *original* schedule baseline (with adjustments made for approved scope changes)



- › 90%+ of projects are delivered within +/- 20% of the *original* budget baseline (with adjustments made for approved scope changes)
- *Future measure:* 80%+ of projects realize 80%+ of stated outcomes and benefits (assumes outcomes and benefits have been established through the Portfolio Management Process; outcomes and benefits cannot be fully assessed until after project close out)

### 3.13 FX EPgMO EXIT CRITERIA

The FX EPgMO was established to facilitate successful delivery of FX projects. As such, it is expected the FX EPgMO shall exist while there are FX projects to be delivered, or until such time the Agency redirects assignment of the functions of the enterprise PMO.





## SECTION 4 HOW THE FX EPgMO FACILITATES PROGRAM MANAGEMENT

The FX EPgMO leads, oversees, and/or supports any project assigned to it via the FX Portfolio Management Process—given the approved scope criteria set forth in the Scope of the FX EPgMO section. The FX EPgMO “standardizes program-related processes and facilitates the sharing of resources, methodologies, tools, and techniques. It provides professional expertise using staff trained in applying program management practices to provide oversight, support, and decision-making capability.”<sup>16</sup> The FX EPgMO also implements continuous improvements to existing processes and standards in support of the *S-3: FX Strategic Plan* at the direction of the Agency.

### 4.1 HOW PROJECTS OR PROGRAMS ARE ASSIGNED TO FX EPgMO

Projects are requested and recommended through the Portfolio Management and FX Governance Processes. Refer to the *S-4: Strategic Project Portfolio Management Plan* for processes that move an idea from proposal to project.


### 4.2 PROGRAM MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE DOMAINS

As shown in **Exhibit 4-1: Program Management Performance Domains** below, Program Management focuses on the following, five Performance Domains:

- Program Strategy Alignment
- Program Life Cycle Management
- Program Stakeholder Engagement
- Program Governance (Adherence to program standards and processes assessed by in this case the FX EPgMO)
- Program Benefits Management

---

<sup>16</sup> *The Standard for Program Management* Fourth Edition, Project Management Institute, ISBN 978-1-62825-196-8, Newton Square Pennsylvania 19073. Page 82.



**Exhibit 4-1: Program Management Performance Domains**

### 4.3 HOW THE FX EPgMO SUPPORTS PROGRAM PERFORMANCE DOMAIN ACTIVITIES

The FX EPgMO supports the performance domain activities as follows:

- **Program Strategy Alignment**
  - › The Program Strategy Alignment performance domain includes activities to identify program outputs and outcomes to provide benefits aligned with AHCA's goals and objectives. A project's alignment to the *S-3: FX Strategic Plan* is assured during the Portfolio Management Process. The business case is a key consideration in whether a project proposal is authorized.
  - › A project's intended outcomes and benefits are confirmed during the portfolio process. Once the project is authorized by the FX PGG, the project (or program) is formally chartered and the FX EPgMO shall monitor the project's continued alignment to the *S-3: FX Strategic Plan* and progress toward achieving stated outcomes and benefits. The FX EPgMO shall work with the FX Portfolio to monitor environmental factors that may influence projects. Also important to Program Strategy Alignment is the program risk management strategy discussed in Section 6.2.2.9 below.
- **Program Benefits Management**
  - › The Program Benefits Management performance domain defines, creates, maximizes, and delivers the benefits provided by the projects. Each FX Project reports weekly metrics used to support FX Program and Project reporting requirements including recurring status reporting and other requested reporting needs.



- › As part of the Portfolio Management Process, the program will have a Benefits Realization Plan that explains what benefits the program will provide when implemented. The FX EPgMO shall monitor projects' progress toward realizing stated outcomes and benefits. The FX EPgMO shall report to the FX Portfolio and FX PGG when a project's performance is altering its chance for benefits realization, e.g., the project approves a scope change that removes functionality that would streamline the enrollment process (potential degradation of outcome and benefit). Outcomes and benefits realized while the project is active will be reported, as appropriate, to the FX PGG and key stakeholders, e.g., Governor's Office, Florida Legislature, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), and constituent groups. The Benefits Realization Plan will be located such that is readily accessible to FX stakeholders as appropriate.
- › When projects transition to operations, the FX EPgMO shall facilitate the transition of benefits related information to the operational organization to support benefits sustainment. The FX Portfolio shall monitor benefits and generate reporting until stated outcomes and benefits are realized or it is determined they will not be met. This effort is inclusive of operational outcomes related to certification.
- › For additional information on the FX Benefits Management approach, please refer to the *S-4: Strategic Project Portfolio Management Plan*.
- **Program Stakeholder Engagement**
  - › The Program Stakeholder Engagement performance domain identifies and analyzes stakeholder needs and manages expectations through communications to foster stakeholder support. The FX EPgMO shall collaborate with the FX Organizational Change Management (OCM) Lead to perform stakeholder engagement activities appropriate for FX:
    - Stakeholder Identification
    - Stakeholder Analysis
    - Stakeholder Engagement Planning
    - Stakeholder Engagement
    - Stakeholder Communications
- **FX Governance**
  - › FX PGG enables and performs decision-making, establishes practices to support the projects, and maintains oversight. To support the FX PGG, the FX EPgMO shall:
    - Maintain project management standards, tools, and techniques
    - Maintain quality standards
    - Perform tactical project oversight
    - Provide project support



- Assess project compliance with the FX Project Management Standards and report findings to the FX PGG (at the request of the Agency)
- Work closely with the FX PGG and the FX Portfolio Management Team to support timely decision-making in support of the Agency’s strategic investments. The FX EPgMO shall do this through routine project performance reporting and escalating matters to the FX Director that cannot be managed or resolved at the FX EPgMO level
- › Key roles in FX Governance include:
  - AHCA Secretary (FX Executive Sponsor)
  - FX Executive Steering Committee (ESC)
  - FX Program Governance Group (PGG)
  - FX Project Sponsors
  - FX Director
  - FX EPgMO
  - FX Project Managers
- › For more information regarding decision-making by, and escalation to, the FX PGG, refer to the *S-1: FX Governance Plan*.
- **Program Life Cycle Management**
  - › Programs span long durations—often many years. When properly executed, programs follow this life cycle:
    - Program Definition
    - Program Delivery
    - Program Closure
  - › Due to the level of detail, the stages of program life cycles are covered in Section 6.



## SECTION 5 PROGRAM ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The specific individuals who perform these roles and responsibilities will vary by program—once a program is chartered. Irrespective of the specific program, the table in **Exhibit 5-1: Program Roles and Responsibilities** below is a generally accurate listing of roles associated with program governance (the noun; not proper noun).

| PROGRAM ROLE                                | RESPONSIBILITIES   |
|---|--|
| FX Executive Steering Committee             | Reference the <i>S-1: FX Governance Plan</i> for responsibilities of the FX ESC  |
| FX PGG (AHCA)                               | Reference the <i>S-1: FX Governance Plan</i> for responsibilities of the FX PGG  |
| FX Executive Sponsor (AHCA)                 | Reference the FX Program Sponsorship Model for responsibilities of the FX Executive Sponsor  |
| FX Director (AHCA)                          | Responsible for day-to-day management of the FX Program; elevates items for awareness and escalation to the FX PGG   |
| FX Program Administration Team (AHCA)       | Responsible for managing and/or overseeing FX vendor contracts; assists with the coordination and facilitation of FX processes, documentation, and reporting; and supports and facilitates meetings of the program decision-making body  |
| FX Portfolio (SEAS)                         | The team responsible for facilitating the Portfolio Management Process   |
| FX EPgMO (SEAS)                             | The team responsible for the governance of projects (i.e., establishing project management standard; facilitating the sharing of resources, tools, techniques; fostering consistency in the application of standards, processes, and templates; and tactical project oversight)  |
| Program Manager (AHCA, SEAS, or Vendor)     | The individual responsible for leading a program to deliver intended benefits  |
| FX Project Managers (AHCA, SEAS, or Vendor) | The individuals responsible for achieving the objectives of their component projects. Depending on the structure, these individuals may interact with a To Be Determined (TBD) Program Manager and/or the FX EPgMO   |
| Delivery Assurance Professional (SEAS)      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Partner with project managers to proactively identify and resolve improvement opportunities, including:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Monitor project performance</li> <li>○ Monitor project-level CRAIDL for proper statement of items and monitoring for timely disposition of CRAIDL items</li> <li>○ Identify and facilitate the resolution of questions/concerns with schedules and resource allocations</li> <li>○ Mentor on and monitor the application of the <i>P-2: FX Project Management Standards</i>, process definitions, and templates</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |



| PROGRAM ROLE | RESPONSIBILITIES   |
|--------------|--|
|              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Facilitate the escalation of potential impacts to the program from the project-level verticals</li></ul> |

**Exhibit 5-1: Program Roles and Responsibilities**



## SECTION 6 PROGRAM LIFE CYCLE

This section highlights the role of the FX EPgMO supporting the program life cycle.

### 6.1 PROGRAM DEFINITION PHASE

Program definition contains two sub-phases: formulation and planning.

#### 6.1.1 PROGRAM FORMULATION

For FX, formulation activities generally occur during the Portfolio Management Process: the FX Portfolio Management Team facilitates business case development for projects and programs. High-level scope, cost, risks, alternative solutions, and expected benefits are considered. An environmental assessment and an initial program risk assessment are conducted to determine the Agency's risk appetite relative to the program including risk associated with the program's ability to maintain strategic alignment.

#### 6.1.2 PROGRAM PLANNING

When the first program is chartered, it will develop a program management plan with support from the FX EPgMO. The program management plan will include the *plans* for the Program Delivery Phase subsections identified below, which currently reflect the program management activities the FX EPgMO performs.

Other elements of program planning include the program roadmap and schedule. These will be pursued upon authorization of a program.

All program plans will comply with FX Standards, which will be assessed prior to FX EPgMO approval of the program plan. If an approved program plan is determined to be in conflict with the FX Standards, the FX Standards will apply.

### 6.2 PROGRAM DELIVERY PHASE

#### 6.2.1 COMPONENT AUTHORIZATION AND PLANNING

When an FX Project is authorized by the FX PGG via the Portfolio Management Process, it transitions to the FX EPgMO for management and/or monitoring and begins Initiation Phase activities. The *P-2: FX Project Management Standards* establishes expectations to enable program-level integration and successful project delivery.

#### 6.2.2 COMPONENT INTEGRATION AND OVERSIGHT

This section highlights the activities required for coordinating the delivery and integration of FX projects to achieve stated program outcomes and benefits.



### 6.2.2.1 PROGRAM CHANGE MONITORING AND CONTROLLING

The FX EPgMO CRAIDL Manager or designee will log a change request when there is a request to modify FX standards or other baselined products produced by the FX EPgMO. All change requests are logged in the Change Log in the FX Projects Repository (FXPR). The FX EPgMO CRAIDL Manager performs weekly reviews of change requests logged in the Change Log and works with either the FX Project Manager or the individual assigned to assess the change to solicit status and discuss path to request completion, review, and approval.

Similarly, the FX CRAIDL Manager shall assess whether a project-level change impacts other projects and/or achievement of the *S-3: FX Strategic Plan*. Consideration is also given to alternatives and the impact if the change is not approved.

Program-level changes shall be evaluated by the FX PGG in accordance with the thresholds set in the *S-1: FX Governance Plan*. Project-level changes will adhere to the process outlined in the *Change Request* process definition.

### 6.2.2.2 PROGRAM COMMUNICATIONS MANAGEMENT

The FX EPgMO strives to align with the FX Organizational Change Management (OCM) Plan. The OCM Plan sets expectations for project and program communications.

The Agency requires communications plans for all projects within the enterprise. Some projects are also required to produce an OCM Plan. The FX EPgMO shall facilitate collaboration between project and program teams and the Agency’s OCM Services Team. This helps unify messaging to the various FX internal and external stakeholders.

**Exhibit 6-1: FX EPgMO Tactical Communications** below depicts the tactical communications of the FX EPgMO during routine operations. Strategic communications are governed by the FX OCM Plan.

| COMMUNICATION  | AUDIENCE   | VEHICLE  | FREQUENCY                | PROVIDED BY   |
|--|--|--|--------------------------|---|
| FX Program Dashboard and FX Project Briefs (Project Performance Reporting)           | FX PGG members<br>FX Director<br>FX Program Administration Team<br>SEAS Vendor Leadership Team | Emailed to FX PGG members<br><br>FXPR: FX Project Status Reports | Weekly                   | Emailed to FX PGG members by FX Director<br><br>Posted by FX EPgMO Lead or designee |
| Review of FX Program Dashboard and FX Project Briefs (Project Performance Reporting) | FX EPM Meeting<br>FX PGG<br>Independent Verification & Validation (IV&V)                       | Meeting  | Biweekly or upon request | FX EPgMO Lead or designee   |





| COMMUNICATION   | AUDIENCE  | VEHICLE | FREQUENCY | PROVIDED BY   |
|---|---|---------|-----------|---|
|   | DMS   |         |           |   |
| Monthly Status Report (Project Performance Reporting and Spend Plan)    | FX Program Administration Team                                      | FXPR    | Monthly   | Posted by the FX Project and Program Management Manager or designee |
|   | DMS   | Email   |           | Emailed by the FX Program Administrative Team Designee              |
|   | Office of Policy and Budget in the Executive Office of the Governor | Email   |           | Emailed by the Chief of Staff's Office                              |
|   | Chairs of the House and Senate Appropriations Committees            | Email   |           | Emailed by the Chief of Staff's Office                              |
|   | Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) Region 4 Office             | Email   |           | Emailed by the FX Program Administrative Team Designee              |
| Operational Work Plan (OWP) and Spending Plan                           | FX Program Administration Team                                      | FXPR    | Quarterly | FX Director   |
|   | Office of Policy and Budget in the Executive Office of the Governor | Email   |           | Emailed by the FX Program Sponsor                                   |
|   | Chairs of the House and Senate Appropriations Committees            | Email   |           | Emailed by the FX Program Sponsor                                   |
| FX Executive Steering Committee (ESC)                                   | Committee Members   | Meeting | Bimonthly | FX Director   |
| Monthly Work Plan (MWP) (talk-through of MWP view in project schedules) | FX Program Administration Team                                      | Meeting | Monthly   | FX Schedule Manager or designee                                     |
|   | IV&V  |         |           |   |
| FX EPM Meeting  | DMS   |         | Biweekly  | FX EPgMO Lead or designee   |
|   | FX Program Administration Team                                      | Meeting |           |   |
|   | IV&V  |         |           |   |
|   | DMS   |         |           |   |



| COMMUNICATION                           | AUDIENCE  | VEHICLE | FREQUENCY | PROVIDED BY   |
|---|---|---------|-----------|---|
| FX EPgMO Informational Working Sessions | FX Program Administration Team<br>FX Project Managers<br>IV&V | Meeting | Biweekly  | FX EPgMO Lead or designee   |
| FX CRAIDL Review Meeting                | FX Program Administration Team<br>FX Project Managers<br>IV&V | Meeting | Biweekly  | FX CRAIDL Manager or designee, along with the FXPA CRAIDL Manager |

**Exhibit 6-1: FX EPgMO Tactical Communications**

Individual FX meetings are described within the table above and include meeting name, meeting purpose, frequency of meetings, attendees, and the meeting coordinator/facilitator.

**6.2.2.3 PROGRAM FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT**

FX projects are funded through the FX appropriation in the Agency’s budget. The Agency currently maintains the spend plan tracking expenditures by FX vendors. The Agency recently completed the Federal Financial Management Project, which will enhance FX financial management.

The spend plan is continually updated by Agency contract managers, with monthly versions finalized after all entries for that month have been made and confirmed. Guidance has been developed addressing the ongoing entry of spend data and any needed modifications (such as modifying line-item projections in specific situations). The guidance also addresses the maintenance of historical spend data, i.e., historical actuals are not to be changed unless an error is subsequently detected.

**6.2.2.4 PROJECT COST ESTIMATION**

Project cost estimation is performed during the Portfolio Management Process in support of the state’s annual budget process. Similarly, the estimates for the FX EPgMO’s costs for each fiscal year is developed as part of the annual budget process and considers upcoming projects (i.e., anticipated to be authorized by the FX PGG for execution). In both cases, assumptions (top-down estimates) are documented. The estimated cost of the upcoming projects will be a benchmark against which cost estimate outputs from project planning will be considered.

**6.2.2.5 PROGRAM INFORMATION MANAGEMENT**

In accordance with the AMS, which establishes standardization in quality and document management processes executed by FX project teams, FX vendors, and the Agency, the FXPR is the Agency’s artifact repository and official source for all FX projects-related



documentation, deliverables, work products, and information. All FX projects shall post and maintain all project materials in the FXPR, as required in the *P-2: FX Project Management Standards*. The FX EPgMO maintains all its materials in the FXPR as well.

The FX EPgMO shall review the standards, processes, templates, and tools it publishes at least on an annual basis to confirm their relevance and appropriateness. In addition, the FX EPgMO monitors developing changes to standards (e.g., DMS administrative rule, new editions of project or program management standards from PMI). **Note:** FX Standards and Plans are reviewed and updated on an annual basis or at the direction of the Agency.

As part of the quality review process, and in accordance with the AMS, the FX EPgMO shall also review the contents of the FXPR to confirm that naming conventions, folder structure, and configuration management processes are followed.

#### 6.2.2.5.1 LESSONS LEARNED

A Lessons Learned Log is maintained in the FXPR. FX participants are encouraged to log lessons learned throughout the course of the endeavor—and not wait until project close out. The value of lessons learned is the wisdom passed on to subsequent projects. Lessons learned may also inform revisions to standards, process definitions, plans, and templates.

The FX EPgMO strongly encourages all FX projects to record lessons learned on an ongoing basis using a stop-start-continue approach:

- Stop actions that yield negative results
- Start actions expected to yield positive results
- Continue actions that yield positive results

The FX EPgMO monitors the lessons logged and expects managers of new projects to review lessons learned for similar projects during the initiation phase and as needed throughout the project. The FX EPgMO CRAIDL Manager shall review new lessons learned in the FX CRAIDL meeting or other FX Program meetings, analyzing them and recommending improvements to FX EPgMO processes.

#### 6.2.2.5.2 DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT

The FX EPgMO's document management activities are closely monitored through Quality Management, in accordance with the AMS.

#### 6.2.2.6 PROGRAM PROCUREMENT MANAGEMENT

The FX Program adheres to all state and Agency procurement requirements.



### 6.2.2.7 PROGRAM QUALITY MANAGEMENT

Quality management standards have been established across the defined focus areas and triple constraints (cost, schedule, and scope). The Quality Management Plan explains what the FX EPgMO shall implement for quality management. The quality and process performance objectives are to facilitate outcome and benefits realization by completing FX projects on time, on budget, within scope, and with a high-quality solution as shown in **Exhibit 6-2: Project Quality and Performance Objectives** below:

| OBJECTIVE    | DESCRIPTION   |
|--------------|---|
| On Time      | Project outcomes are delivered to the Agency on the dates agreed in the schedule and contracts                                |
| On Budget    | Overall project costs will not exceed the agreed budget in the contracts  |
| Within Scope | Agreed-upon requirements are delivered  |
| High Quality | Solutions delivered will meet the agreed-upon requirements and will have the necessary quality to provide value to the Agency |

#### Exhibit 6-2: Project Quality and Performance Objectives

When a project’s health indicates that it might not achieve stated outcomes and benefits, the FX EPgMO shall implement the Corrective Actions process to address issues (refer to the Corrective Actions section below).

The FX EPgMO shall confirm adherence to quality management in accordance with the *P-2: FX Project Management Standards* (the Standards), which identifies the specific processes, procedures, standards, and tools to monitor the quality of work delivered, and shall communicate these concepts across FX projects. The Quality Management standards outline quality activities promoting adherence to the standards and processes defined for the Agency, so FX projects meet their objectives and expectations. The *P-2: FX Project Management Standards* also describe the responsibilities and authority for accomplishing quality activities and identify the required coordination of quality management with other areas.

The FX EPgMO shall review project schedules, CRAIDL logs, reports, and other artifacts to evaluate if the project remains in good health and shall make recommendations for improvement where applicable. In addition, the FX EPgMO shall incorporate accessibility checks into the Quality Control (QC) reviews to ensure Section 508 compliance, where appropriate.

The FX EPgMO shall perform a QC review of draft SEAS Vendor deliverables according to the AMS. This review includes all internal deliverables and any deliverables submitted to the FX EPgMO when part of the program decision-making body as assigned by the FX Director. Upon submission to the FX EPgMO, all deliverables will undergo an initial quality review for completeness, correctness, and compliance with The Standards and the deliverable management processes in accordance with the AMS. The initial quality review will examine the following items:



- QC request and checklist accompany the deliverable document
- Compliance with the deliverable DED
- Compliance with file naming and saving standards (to include versioning), quality standards, and use of approved project templates where applicable
- Deliverable review is in sync with review cycle (e.g., Submission, Draft, Final, etc.)
- All sections in the document appear to contain reasonable and agreed-upon content
- Formatting complies with applicable contract requirements and appears reasonable
- The deliverable review schedule is consistent with/matches the review schedule documented in the DED
- Spelling and grammar check

If the submitted deliverable is found to be materially deficient, it will be returned to the Document Owner for remediation. If the submitted deliverable passes the initial quality review, then the Document Owner stores the document by uploading it into the FXPR in the appropriate folder and file for the formal deliverable review process and in accordance with the AMS.

The FX EPgMO shall monitor compliance with the project management processes to evaluate if the processes followed the project plans. The collection of lessons learned by the FX project teams shall occur over the life of the projects and be reviewed at project close by the FX EPgMO for needed updates to approved FX standards, templates, and tools.

#### **6.2.2.7.1 CORRECTIVE ACTIONS**

The FX EPgMO shall use corrective actions to identify how a project will remedy a problem in the performance of a project process. The FX EPgMO shall track corrective actions to completion. Possible corrective actions may include:

- Remediate a deficiency identified by the FX EPgMO
- Remediate a project-level performance issue such as those identified in scheduled QC checks
- Remediate IV&V findings upon request from the Agency

The FX EPgMO shall complete a Change Request for those corrective actions that will affect project cost, schedule, or scope and in accordance with the associated process definition.

#### **6.2.2.7.2 QUALITY MANAGEMENT - TESTING**

Quality Management routinely refers to testing a product during its development. For software, this means testing in all the environments from Development Testing, Integration Testing, System Testing, User Acceptance Testing, and Production. Each project will produce a testing plan. Since requirements and testing are linked, the test team shall review testing results against requirements management to assess the implementation of the requirements. The FX



EPgMO shall coordinate this effort to help ensure testing goes as planned. During the testing phase, the FX EPgMO shall assess statistics and results relative to project goals and objectives.

The FX EPgMO shall report on these findings as testing moves from one stage to another through implementation in the production environment.

#### **6.2.2.8 PROGRAM RESOURCE MANAGEMENT**

FX Resource Management processes and activities are focused on the allocation of resources to projects within the portfolio and under FX EPgMO guidance and are used to address details and potential conflicts associated with resource demand and availability for the staffing of component projects. These activities involve identifying existing resource availability and capacity, determining the need for additional resources (as necessary), and coordinating resource assignments and interdependencies across component projects to avoid overallocation or inadequate project support. The FX EPgMO shall collect and analyze resource utilization data to develop forecasts of expected resource usage and anticipated resource needs across component projects and activities. This information will inform FX EPgMO efforts to identify potential resource shortfalls or conflicts that might occur as a result of scarce or constrained resources.

The FX EPgMO uses a Schedule and Resource Management System that includes a shared resource pool from which active resources are made available to all projects. The shared labor pool contains the availability of the resources (% time available), their project assignments, and the status of resource assignments, including overallocation information. The FX EPgMO shall run the Resource Management System for project and program resource allocation. In addition, the FX EPgMO facilitates weekly meetings of FX Project Managers to coordinate the allocation of shared resources.

FX EPgMO resource management functions and activities include:

- Identify and address resource interdependencies through coordination with FX Project Managers at the component-level to ensure that interdependency conflicts do not cause project delays or other negative impacts
- Provide data management and QC capabilities for the FX Shared Resource Pool to manage resource allocations more effectively
- Enable integrated, multi-dimensional views of resource demand, utilization, and availability across all projects in the FX Program to facilitate portfolio management planning and estimating

#### **6.2.2.9 PROGRAM RISK MANAGEMENT**

The FX EPgMO monitors program risks using similar processes as project-level risk management. See the process definitions for *Risk Identification* and *Risk Monitoring and Controlling* located in the FXPR at FX-HUB > Process Definitions > Process Category: Risk Management. The FX EPgMO risk management strategy supports the Program Strategy





Alignment performance domain by continuously monitoring for risks to achieve the objectives in the *S-3: FX Strategic Plan*, analyzing those risks, executing the response plans, communicating the risks to the FX PGG, then closing the risks when the opportunity or threat passes.

In addition to monitoring for risks to the *S-3: FX Strategic Plan*, the FX EPgMO also monitors project-level risks with an exposure score of 15 or higher. Projects that have risks with increasing exposure scores are reviewed and reported on the FX Program Dashboard and Project Briefs. Issues are also reported to the FX PGG.

In the case of the FX Program, rather than a single risk identification meeting occurring during the program definition phase, the SEAS Vendor and the Agency identified risks to the FX Program during the course of the first year of initiation and planning activities. As subsequent programs and projects are evaluated via the portfolio process, an environmental assessment and an initial program risk assessment are conducted to determine the Agency's risk appetite for the program including risks associated with the program's ability to maintain strategic alignment.

Risks to a project or program's strategic alignment are monitored and evaluated against the *S-3: FX Strategic Plan* throughout the program life cycle.

The following sections define the ongoing activities performed by the FX EPgMO CRAIDL Manager to facilitate program-level risk management and collaborate with FX Project Managers when monitoring risk at the project-level.

#### **6.2.2.9.1 RISK REGISTER**

The FX EPgMO CRAIDL Manager maintains a risk register for use by the FX Program and the FXPA CRAIDL Manager maintains the risk registers for all FX projects.

#### **6.2.2.9.2 RISK IDENTIFICATION**

The FX EPgMO shall conduct on-going risk identification according to the standard process defined in the process definition for the *Risk Identification* process.

#### **6.2.2.9.3 RISK ASSESSMENT**

The FX EPgMO shall conduct risk assessments according to the standard process defined in the process definition for the *Risk Assessment* process.

#### **6.2.2.9.4 RISK RESPONSE PLANNING**

The FX EPgMO shall conduct risk response planning according to the standard process defined in the *Risk Response Planning* process definition.



#### 6.2.2.9.5 MONITORING AND CONTROLLING RISK

The FX EPgMO shall continually perform risk identification, risk response planning, and risk monitoring for the duration of FX. The FX EPgMO shall track and report on all risks in the FXPR with emphasis given to those risks with risk exposure of fifteen or greater, or those with multi-project impact.

The FX EPgMO CRAIDL Manager facilitates regular Program CRAIDL Log Review meetings to review key CRAIDL items that affect or may affect the FX Program. The FX EPgMO CRAIDL Manager will monitor and manage risk in the Risk Log according to the process definition for *Risk Monitoring*.

#### 6.2.2.10 PROGRAM SCHEDULE MANAGEMENT

The FX Standards include requirements for schedule and resource management and their attendant processes for schedule development, monitoring, reporting, and subsequent schedule updates and revisions. These standards also establish the criteria and activities for developing, monitoring, and controlling FX Project schedules, including requirements and guidance for re-baselining schedules and for updating existing baselines.

The FX EPgMO's Schedule Management activities include ongoing administration and maintenance of Master Program Milestone, Conceptual Planning, and SEAS Operations schedules. FX EPgMO Schedule Management activities are structured around the following objectives:

- Confirm schedule management standards are consistently applied by all FX projects
- Provide a visual representation of how FX projects are being delivered through their life cycles
- Provide a mechanism for identifying and escalating schedule-related issues and risks that might affect FX goals
- Assess alignment between FX Project schedules and the FX Strategic Roadmap
- Identify variances in FX Project schedules and facilitate corrective actions when necessary
- Assess potential impacts of project-level changes on other projects

The FX EPgMO's specific schedule management functions and activities include:

- Facilitate decision-making by providing insights into the behavior and performance of projects and associated changes over time
- Facilitate the identification and management of interdependencies among FX projects and tasks, as well as their associated impacts on individual projects
- Provide accurate schedule information to support informed decision-making





- Enable integrated, multi-dimensional views of project status and schedule performance metrics across all FX projects

#### **6.2.2.11 PROGRAM SCOPE MANAGEMENT**

Scope management includes all the activities required to maintain an FX Project's alignment to the *S-3: FX Strategic Plan*. During the project's planning phase, it includes decomposing the project scope into small work packages. As part of monitoring and control activities, it involves monitoring project change requests—specifically scope changes—for impact to outcome and benefits realization.

The FX EPgMO shall monitor the scope of all assigned projects. Variances meeting the escalation threshold set in the *S-1: FX Governance Plan* will be reported to the FX PGG and the FX Portfolio Team.

#### **6.2.2.12 ADDITIONAL PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND INTEGRATION ACTIVITIES PERFORMED BY THE FX EPgMO**

The FX EPgMO performs these additional program management planning and monitoring activities.

##### **6.2.2.12.1 CRAIDL COORDINATION**

The FX EPgMO shall perform coordination and management activities for CRAIDL as documented in their respective sections of this document. The coordination and management activities are inputs to a program-level review (i.e., FX CRAIDL Review meeting) of all logs at a regular frequency. Based on the factors of the environment at the time (i.e., the number of concurrent projects, complexity of projects in flight, periods of high-risk requiring increased focus), this review should occur at least every other week and cover the following topics (in order of priority):

- Review FX Issues
- Review FX Changes
- Review FX Decisions
- Review FX Program Risks of 15+ Risk Exposure
- Review FX Action Items
- Review FX Project Risks of 15+ Risk Exposure
- Review Recently Identified Lessons Learned

Prior to each FX CRAIDL Review meeting, the FX CRAIDL Manager (SEAS Vendor) shall collect and organize the necessary information to facilitate a productive meeting for the topics above.



#### 6.2.2.12.2 ISSUE MANAGEMENT

An issue is a problem affecting a project's scope, schedule, cost, quality, and/or outcome realization. Issues often originate from risks. Sometimes issues are unanticipated. All issues are logged in the Issue Log in the FXPR; see the *FX Issue Identification and Issue Analysis and Response* process definitions.

#### 6.2.2.12.3 DECISION MANAGEMENT

All decisions are logged in the Decision Log in the FXPR; see the FX Decision Management process definition. For program-level decisions, the FX EPgMO CRAIDL Manager is responsible for documenting the resolution and closing the decision. For project-level decisions, the FX Project Manager is responsible for documenting the resolution and closing the decision.

The FX EPgMO CRAIDL Manager shall assess project-level decisions for completeness, timeliness of updates, and impacts to other FX projects. The FX CRAIDL Manager shall work with either the FX Project Manager or decision-owner to facilitate timely decision-making.

#### 6.2.2.12.4 STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

The FX EPgMO collaborates with the FX Program OCM Lead, in coordination with others in the AHCA FX Program Administration Team, on stakeholder engagement needs. The FX EPgMO shall review and assess stakeholder participation specifically when a stakeholder is engaged in multiple projects or programs. This is to assess whether there are conflicting goals and objectives and if so, the FX EPgMO shall work with the FX Program OCM Lead, in coordination with others in the AHCA FX Program Administration Team, to address these issues.

#### 6.2.2.12.5 ACTION ITEM MANAGEMENT

Action items contribute to the completion of project deliverables and/or the resolution of project threats, opportunities, issues, and corrective action plans. Project-level action items are typically the result of, and tied to, risk response plans, issue resolution plans, and project decisions; these shall be tracked in the Action Item Log in the FXPR. The FX EPgMO CRAIDL Manager (for program-level items), along with the FXPA CRAIDL Manager (for project-level items), shall review new action items for completeness and confirm that the action item has not been duplicated.

Periodically the FX EPgMO CRAIDL Manager, along with the FXPA CRAIDL Manager, shall assess all open action items for completeness, resolution by due dates, last update/modification, and potential impact to other FX projects. Outstanding items will be reviewed with the applicable FX Project Manager. Potential impacts will be reviewed with the FX EPgMO Lead.

The FX EPgMO CRAIDL Manager and FX Project Managers shall manage action items according to the standard process defined in the process definition for *Manage Action Items*.



### **6.2.3 COMPONENT TRANSITION AND CLOSURE**

When an FX Project closes, or transitions to on-going operations, it leaves the purview of the FX EPgMO. A Project Close Out Report is prepared by the FX Project Manager, reviewed with the Project Team, and approved by the Project Sponsor. Project resources are released, project artifacts are archived, and open CRAIDL items are disposed. The FX EPgMO shall facilitate the transition of benefits management activities to the operational organization so intended benefits continue toward realization and sustainment.

### **6.3 PROGRAM CLOSURE PHASE**

A program closes when all projects within the program are complete and the program has begun delivering intended outcomes and benefits. A program may also close if the FX PGG no longer sees the program structure providing the valued management control and benefits that inspired the initial formation of the program.